

Coronary stent technology and the role of inflammation in the atherogenesis: problems and prospects

Ryabov V.V.^{1,8}, Kretov E.I.², Popov S.V.¹, Khassanov I.Sh.^{3,4}, Yakovlev M.Yu.^{5,6,7}

¹ Cardiology Research Institute, Tomsk National Research Medical Center (TNRMC), Russian Academy of Sciences
111A, Kievskaya Str., Tomsk, 634012, Russian Federation

² Meshalkin National Medical Research Center
15, Reckunovskaya Str., Novosibirsk, 630055, Russian Federation

³ Friedrich Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg
91, Henkestraße, Erlangen, 91052, Germany

⁴ BIOTRONIK
1, Woermannkehre, Berlin, 12359, Germany

⁵ Institute of General Pathology and Pathophysiology
8, Baltiyskaya Str., Moscow, 125315, Russian Federation

⁶ Clinical and Diagnostic Company LLC
19, Nizhnyaya Maslovka Str., Moscow, 1270836 Russian Federation

⁷ Pirogov Medical University
1, Ostoviyuanova Str., Moscow, 117997, Russian Federation

⁸ National Research Tomsk State University
36, Lenina Av., Tomsk, 634050, Russian Federation

ABSTRACT

Coronary artery disease (CAD) remains one of the leading causes of death in developed industrial countries. Timely and effective medical care for CAD patients depends on availability and application of endovascular methods for CAD treatment. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) using drug-eluting stents allows to achieve good clinical results even in most severe patients. The issues of personalized invasive treatment for patients with chronic coronary syndrome and optimal prevention of recurrent clinical events in survivors of acute coronary syndrome and PCI remain relevant.

One of most important and unresolved problems in the pathophysiology of CAD is assessment of the nature of the inflammatory reaction that develops in the coronary vessels and myocardium in response to ischemic damage and PCI. Clinical studies focused on exploring a correlation between the proinflammatory parameters of the patient's status and the rate of secondary adverse events and aimed at revealing triggers of systemic and local inflammation are of great interest. Such a trigger could be the intestinal endotoxin (ET) which is capable of inducing systemic inflammation and, therefore, plays a significant role in the atherogenesis. A relationship between the endotoxin and cytokine system parameters should be investigated to develop a therapeutic concept for supporting CAD patients, including individuals after PCI. Parameters of systemic endotoxemia could be used as additional factors in developing the biomarker-based approach to identify patients with active inflammation or fibrosis. This could result in development of specific therapy aimed at suppressing proinflammatory mediators and protecting the heart from inflammation.

Key words: coronary artery disease, percutaneous coronary intervention, inflammation, endotoxin, cytokines, systemic endotoxemia.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare the absence of obvious or potential conflict of interest related to the publication of this article.

✉ Ryabov Vyacheslav V., e-mail: rvvt@cardio-tomsk.ru.

Source of financing. The authors state that they received no funding for the study.

For citation: Ryabov V.V., Kretov E.I., Popov S.V., Khassanov I.Sh., Yakovlev M.Yu. Coronary stent technology and the role of inflammation in the atherogenesis: problems and prospects. *Bulletin of Siberian Medicine*. 2021; 20 (1): 200–212. <https://doi.org/10.20538/1682-0363-2021-1-200-212>.

УДК 616.132.2:615.472.5.032.13]-002:616.13-004.6
<https://doi.org/10.20538/1682-0363-2021-1-200-212>

Технология коронарного стентирования и роль воспаления в атерогенезе: проблемы и перспективы

Рябов В.В.^{1,8}, Кретов Е.И.², Попов С.В.¹, Хасанов И.Ш.^{3,4}, Яковлев М.Ю.^{5,6,7}

¹ Научно-исследовательский институт (НИИ) кардиологии, Томский национальный исследовательский медицинский центр (НИМЦ) Российской академии наук
 Россия, 634012, г. Томск, ул. Киевская, 111А

² Национальный медицинский исследовательский центр им. акад. Е.Н. Мешалкина
 Россия, 630055, г. Новосибирск, ул. Речкуновская, 15

³ Университет Эрланген-Нюрнберг им. Фридриха-Александра
 Германия, 91052, г. Эрланген, Henkestraße, 91

⁴ БИОТРОНИК
 Германия, 12359, г. Берлин, Woermannkehe, 1

⁵ Научно-исследовательский институт (НИИ) общей патологии и патофизиологии Российской академии наук
 Россия, 125315, г. Москва, ул. Балтийская, 8

⁶ ООО «Клинико-диагностическое общество»
 Россия, 127083, г. Москва, ул. Нижняя Масловка, 19

⁷ Российский национальный исследовательский медицинский университет им. Н.И. Пирогова
 Россия, 117997, г. Москва, ул. Островитянина, 1

⁸ Национальный исследовательский Томский государственный университет (НИ ТГУ)
 Россия, 634050, г. Томск, ул. Ленина, 36

РЕЗЮМЕ

Ишемическая болезнь сердца (ИБС) остается одной из ведущих причин смертности в развитых индустриальных странах. Своевременная эффективная помощь данному контингенту больных зависит от доступности и эффективности применения эндоваскулярных методов лечения ИБС. Чрескожное коронарное вмешательство (ЧКВ) с применением стентов с лекарственным покрытием позволяет добиваться хороших клинических результатов даже у самых тяжелых групп пациентов. Остаются актуальными вопросы персонализации планового инвазивного лечения пациентов с хроническим коронарным синдромом, а также оптимальной вторичной профилактики повторных клинических событий у пациентов, благополучно переживших острый коронарный синдром и ЧКВ.

Одной из важнейших и неразрешенных проблем в патофизиологии ИБС является оценка характера воспалительной реакции, развивающейся в венечных сосудах и миокарде в ответ на ишемическое повреждение и ЧКВ. Представляют интерес клинические исследования, направленные на изучение корреляции показателей провоспалительного статуса пациентов с частотой развития повторных неблагоприятных клинических событий с целью выявления индуктора системного и местного (в стенте) воспаления. Вероятным кандидатом является кишечный эндотоксин, способный индуцировать системное воспаление и таким образом играющий существенную роль в атерогенезе. Необходимы исследования взаимодействия параметров эндотоксиновой и цитокиновой систем для выработки терапевтической концепции поддержки больных ИБС, в том числе после проведения процедуры стентирования коронарных артерий. Использование показателей системной эндотоксинемии в прогнозе течения заболевания может быть дополнительным фактором для выработки подходов, основанных на биомаркерах для идентификации больных с активным воспалением

или фиброзом, что привело бы к разработке специфической терапии, направленной на подавление провоспалительных медиаторов и защиту сердца от воспалительного повреждения.

Ключевые слова: ишемическая болезнь сердца, чрескожное коронарное вмешательство, воспаление, эндотоксин, цитокины, системная эндотоксинемия.

Конфликт интересов. Авторы декларируют отсутствие явных и потенциальных конфликтов интересов, связанных с публикацией настоящей статьи.

Источник финансирования. Авторы заявляют об отсутствии финансирования при написании статьи.

Для цитирования: Рябов В.В., Кретов Е.И., Попов С.В., Хасанов И.Ш., Яковлев М.Ю. Технология коронарного стентирования и роль воспаления в атерогенезе: проблемы и перспективы. *Бюллетень сибирской медицины*. 2021; 20 (1): 200–212. <https://doi.org/10.20538/1682-0363-2021-1-200-212>.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) account for the largest share of overall mortality in European Society of Cardiology (ESC) member countries [1]. A common group of risk factors underlying the cardiovascular pathology are associated with unhealthy life style and may cause cancer, diabetes mellitus, and chronic lung diseases, that account for 80% of overall mortality. According to the last European registry, CVD risk factors remain prevalent in patients with chronic coronary syndrome, and prescription of secondary prevention medications is not reasonable. Elderly patients and to some extent female patients have a lower chance of receiving appropriate therapy than young male patients [2].

Coronary artery disease (CAD) accounts for more than 50 % of CVD mortality and 25.9 % of overall mortality in Russia [3]. Timely and effective medical care for CAD patients depends on availability and application of endovascular procedures for CAD treatment, especially in acute coronary syndrome (ACS), and on primary and secondary prevention.

In recent years, a rapid increase in the number of endovascular diagnostic and therapeutic interventions has been registered in Russia. More than 740,000 procedures were performed in 2018, of which more than 220,000 were percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) [4]. It must be noted that implementation of invasive methods for ACS therapy allowed to achieve prominent results in several Russian clinics. Nevertheless, despite the application of antiplatelet and invasive reperfusion therapy, the incidence of ACS and its complications remains high [5, 6].

PCI is the most common invasive method to treat CAD due to high early procedural success and relief of symptoms. Technological development of ultrathin passivated and drug-eluting stents enables to achieve good clinical results even in most high-risk patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) [7].

The prognostic value of invasive therapy in patients with chronic coronary syndrome has been investigated for quite a long time. Thus, the ISCHEMIA clinical trial [8] failed to demonstrate that PCI with stenting resulted in a lower number of serious ischemic complications (death, myocardial infarction (MI), cardiac arrest with return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), hospitalization due to heart failure (HF) or congestive HF (CHF)) in patients with moderate CAD, as opposed to optimal drug therapy. Consequently, the issue of personalization of planned invasive treatment for patients with chronic coronary syndrome, namely selection of patients that will benefit from invasive therapy to the largest extent, remains relevant.

It is worth noting that along with improvement of the stent technology, the problem of coronary event recurrence has come to the forefront. It is obvious that even with optimal secondary prevention in survivors of ACS and PCI, intervention in chronic coronary syndrome does not provide zero residual risk of recurrent clinical events, such as angina pectoris, MI, HF, and sudden cardiac death.

Irrespective of the therapy success, researchers more often confirm the inadequacy of the infiltration theory of atherogenesis and its consequences [9]. Drug therapy optimization is associated to a large extent with the anti-inflammatory effect

of medications. Today, assessment of the nature of the inflammatory reaction that develops in the coronary arteries and myocardium in response to ischemic injury is one of the most important and unresolved problems in the pathophysiology of CAD. In this respect, an obvious gap in knowledge is observed that was obtained in the clinical trials [10, 11] studying a correlation of proinflammatory parameters of the patient's status with the incidence of recurrent adverse events, including the rate of in-stent restenosis.

The role of the immune system in the pathogenesis of CVD is well known: body immune protection is triggered by any stress effect [12], and acute myocardial ischemia is not an exception. There are several interdependent components of immune response that can be engaged in CAD and HF pathogenesis. Low-grade chronic systemic inflammation is essential that manifests through chronic nonspecific diseases involving the cytokine system. Hyperactivation of this system accompanies degradation of extracellular myocardial collagen matrix, ventricular dilatation, and cardiomyocyte hypertrophy (CMH).

There are many hypotheses on how and why the level of proinflammatory cytokines, especially tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α), increases and what causes immune response activation while common symptoms of inflammation are absent. Besides the assumption on myocardial TNF α production stimulated by elevated blood pressure proportional to myocardial wall tension and left ventricular end-diastolic pressure [13] and the hypothesis on extramyocardial cytokine production facilitated by tissue hypoxia and the excess of free radicals [14], there is an endotoxin (ET) concept of atherogenesis [15]. It is based on experimental results [16] and is confirmed by clinical trials [17], which allowed to formulate the endotoxin theory of atherosclerosis [18]. Apparently, the intestinal endotoxin may play a significant role in the atherogenesis, because it is capable of inducing systemic inflammation [19]. Therefore, further clinical trials are required to study the possibility of using systemic endotoxemia (SE) parameters for prognosing the course of postoperative (after coronary stenting) and follow-up periods to improve the patient's quality of life after endovascular interventions.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE CORONARY STENT TECHNOLOGY

As the PCI technology with stenting (stent technology) evolved, it became a common minimally invasive method to cure different CAD forms due to high procedural success, quality of life, and survival rate comparable to those coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). Development of drug-eluting stents (DES) created on the basis of bare-metal stents (BMS) with addition of an anti-proliferative medication resolved the problem related to restenosis progression [20]. Release of the drug from the stent surface allows to regulate the intensity of inflammation occurring after coronary angioplasty and stent implantation and thereby inhibit neointimal hyperplasia in the region of blood vessel wall damage [21]. Clinical data confirm the long term (5-year follow-up) [22, 23] benefit of DES implanted in millions of CAD patients [24].

Technological progress led to development of DES with a unique hybrid coating combining passive and active components [25, 26]. The stent skeleton made of cobalt-chromium alloy with ultrathin 60 μ m struts allows for perfect wall apposition, that is very important for regional blood flow [27] and stent endothelialization [28].

The metal body of the stent is completely covered with a thin passivation layer of amorphous silicon carbide (aSiC:H) that promotes stent endothelialization. The passivating effect of aSiC:H, which is a wide-bandgap semiconductor, consists in inhibition of electron transfer from the fibrinogen molecule with zero total electric charge in the non-excited state to the metal surface. Thereby, conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin (through electrostatic interaction of charged excited fibrinogen molecules) and its deposition on the stent surface are reduced [29]. Clinical studies [30] demonstrated that the passivation layer reduces adhesion and activation of blood platelets and leukocytes and significantly reduces release of potentially allergenic ions from the metal stent skeleton, the latter being especially important in long-term follow-up after stent implantation and total drug elution.

Besides the silicon carbide layer, the stent body is completely covered by a biodegradable poly l-lactic acid (PLLA) polymer for limus delivery. PLLA has been approved for many medical applications since 1960s, and its advantages include high biocompatibility.

bility [31–33] and well-controlled solubility within 1–2 years, which contributes to gradual limus release, minimizing the inflammatory response over a longer period of time [34].

Sirolimus, a natural macrocyclic lactone isolated from *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* in the mid-1970s and approved by FDA for prevention of kidney transplant rejection in 1999, has immunosuppressive, anti-inflammatory, and strong anti-proliferative effects [35]. It inhibits activation of the rapamycin protein target and stops the cell cycle (progression from phase G1 to S). Therefore, sirolimus restricts proliferation of cells, including T-cells, and proliferation and migration of smooth muscle cells, thereby suppressing restenosis [36].

Sirolimus-eluting stents, as opposed to bare-metal stents, reduce neointimal hyperplasia [36]. Re-endothelialization of human coronary arteries occurs to the same extent in the BMS and DES groups [37, 38]. In various animal models and clinical studies [39–41], sirolimus-eluting stents, as opposed to BMS and polymer-coated stents, reduce neointimal hyperplasia. Additionally, early effective neointimal tissue maturation takes place [42, 43], and the risk of stent thrombosis drops by 25% in comparison to other new-generation DES [44].

According to the results of the BIOSTEMI trial, sirolimus-eluting stents with ultrathin struts showed excellent clinical results in most high-risk STEMI patients [7]. As the stent technology develops (approaches perfection) and related clinical outcomes improve, the problems of postoperative complications and optimization of drug therapy are coming to the forefront.

Myocardial remodeling in acute MI mediated by cytokines and inflammatory cells includes myocardial healing encompassing phagocytosis and resorption of necrotic tissue, hypertrophy of survived cardiomyocytes, degradation and synthesis of collagen, proliferation of myofibroblasts, angio- and vasculogenesis, and proliferation of progenitor cells. Death of cardiomyocytes and degradation of the extracellular matrix induce release of signals activating innate and adaptive immunity and determine the intensity of the inflammatory response. Inflammatory mediators are involved in adverse cardiac remodeling (dilatation) and HF progression. Timely suppression of proinflammatory mediators can protect the heart from excessive inflammation-in-

duced damage. New approaches are required based on detection of biomarkers (first of all inducers) of systemic inflammation for identifying patients with high risk of restenosis. Drug treatment of these agents could improve clinical outcomes for patients after PCI during a long follow-up period [9, 45].

Identification of factors that increase the risk of in-stent restenosis, including cellular and inflammatory factors and blood markers, is a topical issue [10]. The role of SE (in its pathogenic form – ET aggression (EA)) in induction of atherogenesis is becoming more prominent [46, 47]. Therefore, studying the role of the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) factor in initiation of systemic inflammation, development of postoperative complications, and the rate of restenosis progression becomes more relevant.

INFLAMMATION AS A FACTOR OF CARDIAC PATHOLOGY

The immune aspect of CVD pathogenesis is well known: immune defense mechanisms are activated not only in any infection, but also in response to any stress impact [12], including ischemia, hemodynamic overload, intoxication, etc. There are several inter-dependent immune system components that can be involved in the pathogenesis. The main of them are proinflammatory cytokines, the durable effect of which leads to gradual destruction of myocardial extracellular collagen matrix, ventricular dilatation, and cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. These cardiac remodeling processes can become irreversible [48] and facilitate HF progression along with cytokine-induced enhancement of cardiomyocyte apoptosis.

Cytokines can be defined as a new autonomous system that regulates the main body functions, exists along with nervous and endocrine regulatory systems, and is primarily aimed at maintaining homeostasis upon penetration of pathogens and disruption of tissue integrity. Death of cardiomyocytes and degradation of the extracellular matrix in the infarcted myocardium induce signal release for activating innate and adaptive immunity and determine the intensity of the inflammatory response. The role of post-infarction inflammation in progression of ischemic inflammation is contradictory, and inflammatory mediators are involved in adverse cardiac remodeling (dilatation) and HF advancement.

The main cause of immunity activation in patients without commonly recognized attributes of inflam-

mation remains unclear. Along with neurohumoral factors, realizing their effect through activation of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone and sympathoadrenal systems, the key role in the pathogenesis belongs to proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF α [49–52] and interleukins IL-1 and IL-6, that modulate cardiovascular system functions [12, 53]. The source of excessive cytokines can be “overstressed” cardiomyocytes [54] or peripheral muscle cells. However, data are available that cytokine release is provoked by endotoxins (ET), i.e. lipopolysaccharides (LPS) of Gram-negative bacteria, which are capable of penetrating into the systemic circulation through the impaired intestinal barrier.

Stagnation of venous circulation in the intestine, which is inevitable when the myocardium is damaged and the cardiac output drops, facilitates wall permeability for bacteria and/or their toxins, which, penetrating the circulation and interacting with the CD14-receptor (CD – cluster of differentiation) of immunocompetent cells, trigger synthesis of TNF α and other cytokines [13, 14]. The intestinal origin of ET and its transport into the circulation of patients without signs of active infection are confirmed by the fact that ET concentration in hepatic veins is significantly higher than in the left ventricle (LV) and pulmonary veins [55]. Absence of any difference between the TNF α levels in the pulmonary veins and in the LV presumably excludes the heart as the source of systematically increased cytokine level [56].

Endotoxin is considered as a fundamental trigger of cytokine storm, and chronic ET load is at least one of the reasons for immune response activation [57–59]. However, ET is also capable of causing a hypo-response to successive loads known as ET tolerance: repeated stimulation of monocytes leads to reduced production of TNF α , IL-1 α , and IL-6 cytokines via the negative feedback mechanism [60–62]. The ET tolerance phenomenon, being a complex regulatory response of the body to inflammation, was studied at the level of changes in cellular membrane molecules, signaling proteins, pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines, and other mediators [63, 64]. Therefore, the ET activity in the blood plasma, being a potential stimulator of immune activation, has pathogenic effects.

The ability of LPS to activate the immune response results from its interaction with TLR4,

the key receptor of innate immunity, which is cardio-pathogenic in nature [65]. TLR4-mediated innate immune responses [66, 67] are capable of triggering myocardial defense after the ischemia-reperfusion sequence (I/R) [68, 69], but they are also involved in myocardial damage in the I/R sequence and HF advancement [66, 67, 70–72]. Lack of TLR4 [70, 71] or modulation of TLR4-mediated activation of the factor kappa-B (NF κ B) [66] significantly reduces myocardial damage caused by I/R, improves restoration of the cardiac function, and reduces expression of inflammatory cytokines and adhesion molecule genes [72].

Inflammation in some form and with some severity grade is present almost in all main types of cardiac pathology. The pathogenetic and morphological patterns of inflammation are almost identical and independent of its localization, except for small variations in involvement of cellular elements in the process. It was shown that the risk of developing acute MI in CAD patients increases during outbreaks of influenza [18] and after surgical interventions. Recipients of transplanted hearts suffer from dramatically accelerated atherosclerotic damage to the coronary system.

Clinical studies demonstrate that low-grade inflammation is associated with the pathogenesis of serious chronic diseases, such as atherosclerosis [46], diabetes mellitus, and age-specific neurological diseases [73].

A correlation was discovered between the inflammation process and arrhythmias, in particular, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF), often occurring after different interventions on the heart [74–76]. Increased levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and proinflammatory TNF α and IL-6 cytokines in the blood plasma were found both in patients with paroxysmal and persistent AF [77–82], while higher CRP level was observed in persistent AF [77, 78]. Furthermore, the CRP level can be used to predict sinus rhythm restoration or AF recurrence in patients undergoing cardioversion [83, 84].

CRP and lectin-like oxidized low-density lipoprotein receptor-1 (LOX-1), almost undetectable in healthy arteries, can form a cyclic mechanism with oxidized LDL (OxLDL) or L5 in proatherogenic conditions, while elevated LDL level induces CRP expression by endothelial cells [85]. In turn, that can increase LOX-1 expression, facilitating atherogenic

LDL capture by endothelial cells and appearing as a key phagocytic receptor (macrophage receptor) to bind OxLDL in atherosclerosis [86]. LOX-1 receptors mediate proatherosclerotic effects of OxLDL that lead to endothelial dysfunction, proinflammatory monocyte recruitment to the arterial intima, formation of foam cells, apoptosis of endothelial cells and vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC), and destabilization and rupture of plaques [86].

Atherosclerosis and vascular restenosis develop with VSMC proliferation. Recent studies have demonstrated that VSMC proliferation is stimulated by LDL via TLR4 receptors, however, the signaling pathways are not completely studied [87]. It is necessary to understand their role and molecular mechanisms involved into control over VSMC proliferation stimulated by LDL via the signaling pathways of TLR4 receptors. ET can stimulate different signaling pathways, such as PI3K/Akt, MAPKs, and IRAK1/4, which then facilitate NF- κ B expression for VSMC proliferation. Studying potential TLR4 signaling pathways of VSMC proliferation remains relevant, which can be a new therapeutic target for proliferative vascular diseases.

For further increase in the efficacy of CAD treatment, especially its severe forms, such as acute MI, new approaches based on biomarkers to identify patients with active inflammation or fibrosis are required, that could result in development of specific therapy. Timely suppression of proinflammatory mediators can protect the heart from excessive inflammation that can be a direct cause of plaque destabilization.

PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS

Development of the stent technology has enabled to solve one of the problems of PCI, namely prevention of in-stent thrombosis and restenosis in early postoperative period. The problem of preserving the patient's quality of life in the longer term apparently cannot be solved only by improving the quality of the stent and the implantation procedure, for example, by applying control and visualization methods like optical coherence tomography [43]. Further efforts are needed to study the mechanisms of stenosis and restenosis, find inducers of atherogenesis, and search for measures to prevent or at least slow down the process. Identification of the role of systemic inflammation in atherogenesis

is relevant, from the mechanism of its induction through SE (and the role of LPS and antibodies to it in this process) [18, 46, 47] to inclusion of the cytokine system in the process.

This topic is investigated in the Russian national prospective multi-center non-randomized non-interventional clinical study "BIOFLOW-III VIP Registry" organized for clinical evaluation of DES implantation efficacy in daily clinical practice [11]. One of the secondary endpoints of the study which is of great scientific interest is a working hypothesis on whether patient's inflammation status correlates with clinical outcomes – serious adverse events (SAE).

To prove the hypothesis, besides standard clinical and biochemical blood parameters, vulnerable inflammation parameters (VIP) are measured in the blood serum of patients upon inclusion in the study: IL-1, IL-6, CRP, cortisol, ET (LPS), antibodies (AB) to the hydrophobic region of the ET molecule (AB-LPS-PHOB), AB to the hydrophilic region of the ET molecule (AB-LPS-PHIL). These laboratory measurements are performed twice: during PCI and if the patient has serious adverse events in the course of 36-month follow-up.

The primary endpoint of the study is identification of target lesion failure (TLF) within 12-month follow-up due to cardiac death, target vessel Q-wave or non-Q wave MI, emergency CABG, and clinically driven target lesion revascularization (TLR).

Secondary endpoints also include TLF at 6 and 36 months of follow-up; target vessel revascularization (TVR) at 6, 12, and 36 months; target lesion revascularization (TLR) at 6, 12, and 36 months; stent thrombosis at 6, 12, and 36 months; clinical device success; clinical procedural (PCI) success; VIP registered during inclusion in the study; VIP registered during SAE.

Acquisition of data on the correlation between blood parameters and the frequency of SAE in patients will be relevant for optimizing dynamic FU of CAD patients after PCI. Along with the possibility to resolve the problem for a definite patient cohort, the study results might be promising due to general pathological meaning of VIP for developing a dynamic predictive algorithm of life- and health-threatening adverse events (including vascular catastrophes, HF decompensation, and different life-threatening forms of arrhythmia) with

the use of integrative data bases and digital health platforms [88]. The “BIOFLOW-III VIP Registry” findings will allow to gain insight into the problem of stent lifespan extension and could provide the basis for developing a follow-up algorithm for PCI patients using methods to normalize integrated SE parameters.

MEASURES OF ENDOTOXIN AGGRESSION PREVENTION AND ELIMINATION

Systemic inflammation is an attribute of life itself and an obligatory factor of homeostasis (intestinal LPS activates adaptive body systems, including the immune system) [19]. Its pathogenic form resulting from excessive LPS in the circulation is considered as a pre-disease or a universal pathogenic factor of human and animal diseases [89]. This approach is confirmed by clinical observations of patients with allergic disorders, autoimmune diseases, female infertility, idiopathic and viral uveitis, anorexia, obesity, type 1 and 2 diabetes, chronic viral pathology (including AIDS), and physical and psycho-emotional stress [19, 90–98].

The list of drugs, foods, food additives, and procedures that are able to lower the ET concentration in the systemic circulation is quite long [99–101]. It includes enterosorbents and foods rich in fiber; Bifidobacterium-containing products (live cultures) and foods (starch drinks, etc.) that envelope the intestinal mucosa; choleric medications, products (garlic, etc.), and procedures (gallbladder cleanse etc.); antiviral medications with rectal administration; antibiotics (gentamicin, etc.) binding LPS in the circulation; bacteriophages and foods selectively eliminating various Gram-negative bacteria, which cause EA development; moderate physical and aquatic exercises; intravenous laser blood irradiation as a method to increase anti-endotoxin immunity; selective hemoabsorption (LPS filters) and immunodrugs – concentrate human AB to LPS (in critical states). Furthermore, development of new selective hemo- and enterosorbents on the basis of oligonucleotides appears to be promising [102].

CONCLUSION

Advances in endovascular methods of CAD therapy and prevention of acute cardiac pathology using coronary stents are evident. Achievements in stent technology refinement based on development and application of new materials allowing for a significant decrease in the rate of inflammatory com-

plications (primarily thrombosis) in early postoperative period are impressive. This has permitted to decrease mortality and preserve work capacity of a large population cohort.

Further progress in vascular surgery can be achieved in extending stent lifespan. A clear understanding of the key role of systemic inflammation in development of restenosis in the stented coronary artery has appeared, that apparently develops according to the mechanisms similar to those in atherogenesis. Systematic understanding of the role of microbiota in the homeostasis and general pathology and the contribution of intestinal ET to adaptation and atherogenesis induction was shaped.

Methodological and methodical basis for studying the role of endotoxemia in the pathogenesis was developed. We identified the range of drugs, foods, additives, and procedures capable of preventing and/or stopping EA and systemic inflammation induced by it by affecting the inflammation inductor. The relevance of creating a new generation of anti-endotoxin agents using selective hemo- and enterosorbents on the basis of oligonucleotides was substantiated.

Due to the general pathological significance of the inflammatory process, further studies on the relationship between ET and cytokine system parameters are promising for developing a therapeutic concept of CAD patient management, including individuals after coronary stenting.

REFERENCES

1. Timmis A., Townsend N., Gale C.P., Torbica A., Lettino M., Petersen S.E., Mossialos E.A., Maggioni A.P., Kazakiewicz D., May H.T., Smedt D.D., Flater M., Zuhke L., Beltrame J.F., Huculeci R., Tavazzi L., Hindricks G., Bax J., Casadei B., Achenbach S., Wright L., Vardas P. European Society of Cardiology: Cardiovascular disease statistics 2019. *European Heart Journal*. 2020; 41 (1): 12–85. DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz859.
2. Komajda M., Cosentino F., Ferrari R., Kerneis M., Kosmachova E., Laroche C., Gale C. Profile and treatment of chronic coronary syndromes in European Society of Cardiology member countries: The ESC EORP CICD-LT registry. *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*. 2020; 0 (0): 1–15. DOI: 10.1177/2047487320912491.
3. Bokeriya L.A., Gudkova R.G. Cardiovascular surgery. Diseases and congenital abnormalities of the circulatory system. M.: Bakulev National Medical Research Center of Cardiovascular Surgery, 2016: 208 (in Russ.).
4. Alekhan B.G., Grigoryan A.M., Staferov A.V., Karapetyan N.G. Radio-endovascular diagnosis and treatment of

- cardiovascular diseases in the Russian Federation – 2018. *Endovascular Surgery*. 2019; (6) 5: 188 (in Russ.).
5. Tobbia P., Brodie B., Witzendichler B., Metzger C., Guagliumi G., Yu J., Kellet M.A., Stuckey T., Fahy M., Mehran R., Stone GW. Adverse event rates following primary PCI for STEMI at US and non-US hospitals: three-year analysis from the HORIZONS-AMI trial. *EuroIntervention*. 2013; 8 (10): 1134–1142. DOI: 10.4244/EIJV8I10A176.
 6. Markov V.A., Ryabov B.B., Vyshlov E.V., Ryabova T.R., Shurupov V.S., Oyunarov E.O., Demyanov S.V., Maksimov V.I. Peculiarities of cardiac remodeling after myocardial infarction using pharmaco-invasive methods of reperfusion and enhanced external counterpulsation therapy. Toms: STT; 2014: 244 (in Russ.).
 7. Iglesias J.F., Muller O., Heg D., Roffi M., Kurz D.J., Moarof I., Weilenmann D., Kaiser C., Tapponnier M., Storteky S., Losdat S., Eeckhout E., Valgimigli M., Odutayo A., Zwahlen M., Juni P., Windecker S., Pilgrim T. Biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stents versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (BIOSTEMI): a single-blind, prospective, randomised superiority trial. *Lancet*. 2019; 394 (10205): 1243–1253. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31877-X.
 8. International Study of Comparative Health Effectiveness with Medical and Invasive Approaches (ISCHEMIA). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01471522>.
 9. Ryabov V.V., Gombozhapova A.E., Rogovskaya Yu.V., Rebenkova M.S., Alekseeva Ya.V., Kzhyshkovska Yu.G. Inflammation as a universal pathogenetic component of damage, reparation, and regeneration in acute coronary syndrome. From experiment to clinical practice. *Cardiology*. 2019; 59 (S8): 15–23 (in Russ.). DOI: 10.18087/cardio.2668.
 10. Gabbasov Z.A., Kozlov S.G., Imaeva A.E., Saburova O.S., Smirnov V.N. Patent of the Russian Federation No. 2466403. Method of diagnosing drug-eluting stent stenosis. Published 10.11.2012.
 11. BIOFLOW-III VIP Registry/ URL: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02247492>.
 12. Selye H. Stress and disease. *Science*. 1955; 122 (3171): 625–631. DOI: 10.1126/science.122.3171.625.
 13. Kapadia S.R., Oral H., Lee J., Nakano M., Taffet G.E., Mann D.L. Hemodynamic regulation of tumor necrosis factor- α gene and protein expression in adult feline myocardium. *Circulation Research*. 1997; 81 (2): 187–195. DOI: 10.1161/01.res.81.2.187.
 14. Kruchinkina E.V., Ryabova T.R., Batalov R.E., Ryabov V.V. Modern approaches to treatment of patients with decompensated congestive heart failure. The role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of decompensation. *Bulletin of Siberian Medicine*. 2018; 17 (4): 238–253 (in Russ.). DOI: 10.20538/1682-0363-2018-4-238-253.
 15. Yakovlev M.Yu. Endotoxin shock. *Kazan Medical Journal*. 1987; 68 (3): 207–211 (in Russ.).
 16. Yakovlev M.Yu. Morphology of the myocardium in endotoxin shock. *Archives of Pathology*. 1985; 47 (7): 34–40 (in Russ.).
 17. Anker S.D., Egerer K., Volk H.D., Kox W.J., Poole-Wilson P.A., Coats A.J. Elevated soluble CD 14 receptors and altered cytokines in chronic heart failure. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 1997; 79 (10): 1426–1430. DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9149(97)00159-8.
 18. Anikhovskaya I.A., Kubatiev A.A., Yakovlev M.Yu. Endotoxin theory of atherosclerosis. *Human Physiology*. 2015; 41 (1): 106–116 (in Russ.). DOI: 10.7868/S0131164615010026.
 19. Yakovlev M.Yu. Intestinal endotoxin and inflammation. *Dermatology. National guidelines*. M.: 2013: 70–76 (in Russ.).
 20. Kastrati A., Mehilli J., Dirschinger J., Pache J., Ulm K., Schuhlen H., Seyfarth M., Blasini R., Neumann F.J., Schomig A. Restenosis after coronary placement of various stent types. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 2001; 87 (1): 34–39. DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9149(00)01268-6.
 21. Doostzadeh J., Clarck L.N., Bezenek S., Pierson W., Sood P.R., Sudhir K. Recent progress in percutaneous coronary intervention: evolution of the drug-eluting stents, focus on the XIENCE V drug-eluting stent. *Coronary Artery Disease*. 2010; 21 (1): 46–56. DOI: 10.1097/MCA.0b013e328333f550.
 22. Schampaert E., Moses J.W., Schofer J., Schlüter M., Gershlick A.H., Cohen E.A., Palisaitis D.A., Breithardt G., Donohoe D.J., Wang H., Popma J.J., Kuntz R.E., Leon M.B.; SIRIUS, E- and C-SIRIUS Investigators. Sirolimus-eluting stents at two years: a pooled analysis of SIRIUS, E-SIRIUS, and C-SIRIUS with emphasis on late revascularizations and stent thromboses. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 2006; 98 (1): 36–41. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2006.01.049.
 23. Hoffmann R., Morice M.C., Moses J.W., Fitzgerald P.J., Mauri L., Breithardt G., Schofer J., Serruys P.W., Stoll H.P., Leon M.B. Impact of late incomplete stent apposition after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation on 4-year clinical events: intravascular ultrasound analysis from the multicentre, randomised, RAVEL, E-SIRIUS and SIRIUS trials. *Heart*. 2008; 94 (3): 322–328. DOI: 10.1136/hrt.2007.120154.
 24. Cordis Corporation, Warren, New Jersey, USA, Press Release 19 May 2009.
 25. Hamon M. First-in-man experience with the DES Orsiro in the treatment of patients with single de novo coronary artery lesions (BIOFLOW-I). Paper presented at: Annual meeting of EuroPCR; May 17, 2011; Paris, France.
 26. Tittelbach M., Diener T. Orsiro – The first hybrid drug-eluting stent, opening up a new class of drug-eluting stents for superior patient outcomes. *Interventional Cardiology Review*. 2011; 6 (2): 142–144. DOI: 10.15420/icr.2011.6.2.142.
 27. Kolandaivelu K., Swaminathan R., Gibson W.J., Kolachalam V.B., Nguyen-Ehrenreich K.-L., Giddings V.L., Coleman L., Wong G.K., Edelman E.R. Stent thrombogenicity early in high-risk interventional settings is driven by stent design and deployment, and protected by polymer-drug coatings. *Circulation*. 2011; 123(13): 1400–1409. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.003210.
 28. Joner M., Nakazawa G., Finn A.V., Finn A.V., Quee S.C., Coleman L., Acampado E., Wilson P.S., Skorija K., Cheng Q., Xu X., Gold H.K., Kolodgie F.D., Virmani R. Endothelial cell recovery between comparator polymer-based drug-eluting stents. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2008; 52 (5): 333–342. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.04.030.
 29. Unverdorben M., Sippel B., Degenhardt R., Sattler K., Fries R., Abt B., Wagner E., Koehler H., Daemgen G., Scholz M., Ibra-

- him H., Tews K.-H., Hennen B., Berthold H.K., Vallbracht C., Tenax versus Nir Stent Study. Comparison of a silicon carbide-coated stent versus a noncoated stent in human beings: the Tenax versus Nir Stent Study's long-term outcome. *American Heart Journal*. 2003; 145 (4): e17. DOI: 10.1067/mhj.2003.90.
30. Unverdorben M., Sippel B., Degenhardt R., Sattler K., Fries R., Abt B., Wagner E., Koehler H., Daemgen G., Scholz M., Ibrahim H., Tews K.-H., Hennen B., Berthold H.K., Vallbracht C. Comparison of a silicon carbide coated stent versus a non-coated stent in humans: the Tenax- versus Nir-Stent Study (TENISS). *Journal of Interventional Cardiology*. 2003; 16 (4): 325–333. DOI: 10.1034/j.1600-6143.2003.08058.x
 31. Hauptmann G.E.K.-E., Buellesfeld L., Lim V., Abizaid A. Six-month results of a randomized study to evaluate safety and efficacy of a Biolimus A9 eluting stent with a biodegradable polymer coating. *EuroIntervention*. 2005; 1 (1): 53–57.
 32. Ormiston J.A., Serruys P.W., Regar E., Dudek D., Thuesen L., Webster M.W., Onuma Y., Garcia-Garcia H.M., McGreevy R., Veldhof S. A bioabsorbable everolimus-eluting coronary stent system for patients with single de-novo coronary artery lesions (ABSORB): a prospective open-label trial. *Lancet*. 2008; 371 (9616): 899–907. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60415-8.
 33. Tsuji T., Tamai H., Igaki K., Kyo E., Kosuga K., Hata T., Okada M., Nakamura T., Komori H., Motohara S., Uehata H. Biodegradable Polymeric Stents. *Current Interventional Cardiology Reports*. 2001; 3 (1): 10–17.
 34. Rodriguez-Granillo A., Rubilar B., Rodriguez-Granillo G., Rodriguez A.E. Advantages and disadvantages of biodegradable platforms in drug eluting stents. *World Journal of Cardiology*. 2011; 3 (3): 84–92. DOI: 10.4330/wjc.v3.i3.84.
 35. MacDonald A., Scarola J., Burke J.T., Zimmerman J.J. Clinical pharmacokinetics and therapeutic drug monitoring of sirolimus. *Clinical therapeutics*. 2000; 22 (Suppl. B): B101–B121. DOI: 10.1016/s0149-2918(00)89027-x.
 36. Suzuki T., Kopia G., Hayashi S., Bailey L.R., Llanos G., Wilensky R., Klugherz B.D., Papandreou G., Narayan P., Leon M.B., Yeung A.C., Tio F., Tsao P.S., Falotico R., Carter A.J. Stent-based delivery of sirolimus reduces neointimal formation in a porcine coronary model. *Circulation*. 2001; 104 (10): 1188–1193. DOI: 10.1161/hc3601.093987.
 37. Sousa J.E., Costa M.A., Abizaid A., Feres F., Seixas A.C., Tanajura L.T., Mattos L.A., Falotico R., Jaeger J., Popma J.P., Serruys P.W., Sousa A. Four-year angiographic and intravascular ultrasound follow-up of patients treated with sirolimus-eluting stents. *Circulation*. 2005; 111 (18): 2326–2329. DOI: 10.1016/j.accreview.2005.08.236.
 38. Sousa E.J., Costa M.A., Farb A., Abizaid A., Sousa A., Seixas A.C., Silva L.M., Feres F., Pinto I., Mattos L.A., Virmani R. Images in cardiovascular medicine. Vascular healing 4 years after the implantation of sirolimus-eluting stent in humans: a histopathological examination. *Circulation*. 2004; 110 (1): e5–6. DOI: 10.1161/01.cir.0000134307.00204.b3.
 39. Moses J.W., Leon M.B., Popma J.J., Fitzgerald P.J., Holmes D.R., O'Shaughnessy C., Caputo R.P., Kereiakes P.R., Williams D.O., Teirstein P.S., Jaeger J.L., Kuntz R.E., SIRIUS Investigators. Sirolimus-eluting stents versus standard stents in patients with stenosis in a native coronary artery. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2003; 349 (14): 1315–1323. DOI: 10.1056/nejmoa035071.
 40. Morice M.C., Serruys P.W., Barragan P., Bode C., Van Es G.-A., Stoll H.-P., Sneed D., Mauri L., Cutlip D.E., Sousa E. Long-term clinical outcomes with sirolimus-eluting coronary stents: five-year results of the RAVEL trial. *Journal of American College of Cardiology*. 2007; 50 (14): 1299–1304. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.06.029.
 41. Klugherz B.D., Llanos G., Lieuallen W., Kopia G.A., Papandreou G., Narayan P., Sasseen B., Adelman S.J., Falotico R., Wilensky R.L. Twenty-eight-day efficacy and pharmacokinetics of the sirolimus-eluting stent. *Coronary Artery Disease*. 2002; 13 (3): 183–188. DOI: 10.1097/00019501-200205000-00008.
 42. Koppa T., Tada T., Xhepa E., Kufner S., Byrne R.A., Ibrahim T., Laugwitz K.-L., Kastrati A., Joner M. Randomised comparison of vascular response to biodegradable polymer sirolimus eluting and permanent polymer everolimus eluting stents: An optical coherence tomography study. *International Journal of Cardiology*. 2018; 258: 42–49. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.01.011.
 43. Kretov E., Naryshkin I., Baystrukov V., Grazhdankin I., Prokhorikhin A., Zubarev D., Biryukov A., Verin V., Boykov A., Malaev D., Pokushalov E., Romanov A., Bergmann M.W. Three-months optical coherence tomography analysis of a biodegradable polymer, sirolimus-eluting stent. *Journal of Interventional Cardiology*. 2018; 31 (4): 442–449. DOI: 10.1111/joic.12510.
 44. Iglesias J.F., Pilgrim Th. Safety and efficacy of drug-eluting stents combining biodegradable polymers with ultrathin stent platforms. *Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine*. 2018; 19 (1(Pt.A)): 2–4. DOI: 10.1016/j.carrev.2017.12.018.
 45. Kercheva M., Ryabova T., Gusakova A., Suslova T.E., Ryabov V., Karpov R.S. Serum soluble ST2 and adverse left ventricular remodeling in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Clinical Medicine Insights: Cardiology*. 2019; 13. DOI: 10.1177/1179546819842804.
 46. Wiedermann Ch.J., Kiechl S., Dunzendorfer S., Schratzberger P., Egger G., Oberhollenzer F., Willeit J. Association of Endotoxemia with Carotid Atherosclerosis and Cardiovascular Disease. Prospective Results from the Bruneck Study. *Journal of American College of Cardiology* 1999; 34 (7): 1975–1981. DOI: 10.1016/s0735-1097(99)00448-9.
 47. Pokusaeva D.P., Anikhovskaya I.A., Korobkova L.A., Enukidze G.G., Yakovlev M.Y. Prognostic importance of systemic endotoxemia indicators in atherogenesis. *Human Physiology*. 2019; (45) 5: 543–551. DOI: 10.1134/s036211971905013x.
 48. Bozkurt B., Kribbs S.B., Clubb F.J., Michael L.H., Didenko V.V., Hornsby P.J., Seta Y., Oral H., Spinale F.G., Mann D.L. Pathophysiologically relevant concentrations of tumor necrosis factor- α promote progressive left ventricular dysfunction and remodeling in rats. *Circulation*. 1998; 97 (14): 1382–1391. DOI: 10.1161/01.cir.97.14.1382.
 49. Levine B., Kalman J., Mayer L., Fillit H.M., Packer M. Elevated circulating levels of tumor necrosis factor in severe chronic heart failure. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1990; 323 (4): 236–241. DOI: 10.1056/nejm199007263230405.

51. Torre-Amione G. Immune activation in chronic heart failure. *Journal of American College of Cardiology*. 2005; 95 (11A): 3C–8C. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.03.006.
52. Vonhof S., Brost B., Stille-Siegener M., Grumbach I., Kreuzer H., Figulla H. Monocyte activation in congestive heart failure due to coronary artery disease and idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Journal of Interventional Cardiology*. 1998; 63 (3): 237–244. DOI: 10.1016/s0167-5273(97)00332-x.
53. Carswell E.A., Old L.J., Kassel R.L., Green S., Williamson B. An endotoxin-induced serum factor that causes necrosis of tumor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of United States of America*. 1975; 72 (9): 3666–3670. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.72.9.3666.
54. Von Haehling S., Jankowska E.A., Anker S.D. Tumour necrosis factor- α and the failing heart: pathophysiology and therapeutic implications. *Basic Research in Cardiology*. 2004; 99 (1): 18–28. DOI: 10.1007/s00395-003-0433-8.
55. Irwin M.W., Mak S., Mann D.L., Qu R., Penninger J.M., Yan A., Dawood F., Wen W.H., Shou Z., Liu P. Tissue expression and immunolocalization of tumor necrosis factor- α in postinfarction dysfunctional myocardium. *Circulation*. 1999; 99 (11): 1492–1498. DOI: 10.1161/01.cir.99.11.1492.
56. Peschel T., Anker S.D., Ziegenbalg K., Schonauer M., Niebauer J. Endotoxemia in congestive heart failure: highest levels in hepatic veins suggestive of intestinal bacterial and/or endotoxin translocation. *European Journal of Heart Failure*. 2000; 2 (Suppl. 2): P22/10452. DOI: 10.1016/s1388-9842(00)80311-6.
57. Peschel T., Schönauer M., Thiele H., Anker S.D., Schuler G., Niebauer J. Invasive assessment of bacterial endotoxin and inflammatory cytokines in patients with acute heart failure. *European Journal of Heart Failure*. 2003; 5 (5): 609–614. DOI: 10.1016/s1388-9842(03)00104-1.
58. Molinaro A., Holst O., Di Lorenzo F., Callaghan M., Nuri-so A. et al. Chemistry of lipid A: At the heart of innate immunity. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015; 21 (2): 500–519. DOI: 10.1002/chem.201403923.
59. Kieser K.J., Kagan J.C. Multi-receptor detection of individual bacterial products by the innate immune system. *Nature Reviews. Immunology*. 2017; 17 (6): 376–390. DOI: 10.1038/nri.2017.25.
60. Cochet F., Peri F. The Role of carbonhydrates in the lipopolysaccharide (LPS)/Toll-Like receptor 4 (TLR4) signalling. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2017; 18 (11): 2318. DOI: 10.3390/ijms18112318.
61. Randow F., Syrbe U., Meisel C., Krausch D., Zuckermann H., Platzer C., Volk HD. Mechanism of endotoxin desensitization: involvement of interleukin 10 and transforming growth factor beta. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 1995; 181 (5): 1887–1892. DOI: 10.1084/jem.181.5.1887.
62. Sharma R., Bolger A., Rauchhaus M., von Haehling S., Doehner W., Adcock I., Barnes P., Poole-Wilson P., Volk H., Coats A. Cellular endotoxin desensitization in patients with severe chronic heart failure. *European Journal of Heart Failure*. 2005; 7 (5): 865–868. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejheart.2004.09.014.
63. Genth-Zotz S., von Haehling S., Bolger A.P., Kalra P.R., Wensel R., Coats A.J., Anker S.D. Pathophysiologic quantities of endotoxin-induced tumor necrosis factor- α release in whole blood from patients with chronic heart failure. *The American Journal of Cardiology*. 2002; 90 (11): 1226–1230. DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9149(02)02839-4.
64. Fan H., Kuck J.A. Review: Molecular mechanisms of endotoxin tolerance. *Journal of Endotoxin Research*. 2004; 10 (2): 71–84. DOI: 10.1179/096805104225003997.
65. Seeley J.J., Ghosh S. Molecular mechanisms of innate memory and tolerance to LPS. *Journal of Leukocyte Biology*. 2017; 101 (1): 107–119. DOI: 10.1189/jlb.3mr0316-118rr.
66. Frantz S., Kobzik L., Kim Y.D.I., Fukazawa R., Medzhitov R., Lee R.T., Kelly R.A. Toll4 (TLR4) expression in cardiac myocytes in normal and failing myocardium. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 1999; 104 (3): 271–280. DOI: 10.1172/jci6709.
67. Li C., Ha T., Kelley J., Gao X., Qiu Y., Kao R.L., Browder W., Williams DL. Modulating Toll-like receptor mediated signaling by (1 \rightarrow 3)- β -D-glucan rapidly induces cardioprotection. *Cardiovascular Research*. 2003; 61 (3): 538–547. DOI: 10.1016/j.cardiores.2003.09.007.
68. Li C., Browder W., Kao R.L. Early activation of transcription factor NF- κ B during ischemia in perfused rat heart. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*. 1999; 276 (2): H543–H552. DOI: 10.1152/ajpheart.1999.276.2.h543.
69. Brown J.M., Grosso M.A., Terada L.S., Whitman G.J.R. Endotoxin pretreatment increases endogenous myocardial catalase activity and decreases ischemia-reperfusion injury of isolated rat hearts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1989; 86 (7): 2516–2520. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.86.7.2516.
70. Yao Z., Auchampach J.A., Pieper G.M., Gross G. Cardioprotective effects of monophosphoryl lipid-A, a novel endotoxin analogue, in dog. *Cardiovascular Research*. 1993; 27 (5): 832–838. DOI: 10.1093/cvr/27.5.832.
71. Oyama J., Blais C.Jr., Liu X., Pu M., Kobzik L., Kelly R.A., Bourcier T. Reduced myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in Toll-like receptor 4-deficient mice. *Circulation*. 2004; 109(6): 784–789. DOI: 10.1161/01.cir.0000112575.66565.84.
72. Hua F., Ha T., Ma J., Li Y., Kelley J., Gao X., Browder I.W., Kao R.L., Williams D.L., Li C. Protection against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in TLR4 deficient mice is mediated through a phosphoinositide 3-kinase dependent mechanism. *The Journal of Immunology*. 2007; 178 (11): 7317–7324. DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.11.7317.
73. Morishita R., Sugimoto T., Aoki M., Kida I., Tomita N., Moriguchi A., Maeda K., Sawa Y., Kaneda Y., Higaki J., Ogiwara T. *In vivo* transfection of cis element ‘decoy’ against nuclear factor- κ B binding site prevents myocardial infarction. *Nature Medicine*. 1997; 3 (8): 894–899. DOI: 10.1038/nm0897-894.
74. Glaros T.G., Chang S., Gilliam E.A., Maitra U., Deng H., Li L. Causes and consequences of low grade endotoxemia and inflammatory diseases. *Frontiers of Bioscience*. (Schol Ed). 2013; S5 (2): 754–765. DOI: 10.2741/s405.
75. Ishida K., Kimura F., Imamaki M., Ishida A., Shimura H., Kohno H., Sakurai M., Miyazaki M. Relation of inflammatory cytokines to atrial fibrillation after off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *European Journal of Cardio-Tho-*

- racic Surgery*. 2006; 29 (4): 501–505. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejcts.2005.12.028.
76. Bruins P., te Velthuis H., Yazdanbakhsh A.P., Jansen P.G., van Hardevelt F.W., de Beaumont E.M., Wildevuur C.R., Eijlsman L., Trouwborst A., Hack C.E. Activation of the complement system during and after cardiopulmonary bypass surgery: postsurgery activation involves C-reactive protein and is associated with postoperative arrhythmia. *Circulation*. 1997; 96 (10): 3542–3548. DOI: 10.1161/01.cir.96.10.3542.
 77. Abdelhadi R.H., Gurm H.S., van Wagoner D.R., Chung M.K. Relation of an exaggerated rise in white blood cells after coronary bypass or cardiac valve surgery to development of atrial fibrillation postoperatively. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 2004; 93 (9): 1176–1178. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.01.053.
 78. Aviles R.J., Martin D.O., Apperson-Hansen C., Houghtaling P.L., Rautaharju P., Kronmal R.A., Tracy R.P., van Wagoner R.V., Psaty B.M., Lauer M.S., Chung M.K. Inflammation as a risk factor for atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2003; 108 (24): 3006–3010. DOI: 10.1161/01.cir.0000103131.70301.4f.
 79. Chung M.K., Martin D.O., Sprecher D., Wazni O., Kanderian A., Carnes C.A., Bauer J.A., Tchou P.J., Niebauer M.J., Natale A., van Wagoner D.R. C-reactive protein elevation in patients with atrial arrhythmias: inflammatory mechanisms and persistence of atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2001; 104 (24): 2886–2891. DOI: 10.1161/hc4901.101760.
 80. Conway D.S., Buggins P., Hughes E., Lip G.Y. Prognostic significance of raised plasma levels of interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein in atrial fibrillation. *American Heart Journal*. 2004; 148 (3): 462–466. DOI: 10.1016/j.ahj.2004.01.026.
 81. Conway D.S., Buggins P., Hughes E., Lip G.Y. Relationship of interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein to the prothrombotic state in chronic atrial fibrillation. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2004; 43 (11): 2075–2082. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2003.11.062.
 82. Ellinor P.T., Low A., Patton K.K., Shea M.A., Macrae C.A. C-reactive protein in lone atrial fibrillation. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 2006; 97 (9): 1346–1350. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.11.052.
 83. Rotter M., Jais P., Vergnes M.C., Nurdin P., Takahashi Y., Sanders P. et al. Decline in C-reactive protein after successful ablation of long-lasting persistent atrial fibrillation. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2006; 47 (6): 1231–1233. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.12.038.
 84. Dernellis J., Panaretou M. C-reactive protein and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: evidence of the implication of an inflammatory process in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Acta Cardiologica*. 2001; 56 (6): 375–380. DOI: 10.2143/ac.56.6.2005701.
 85. Wazni O.M., Martin D.O., Marrouche N.F., Shaaraoui M., Chung M.K., Almahameed S. C-reactive protein concentration and recurrence of atrial fibrillation after electrical cardioversion. *Heart*. 2005; 91 (10): 1303–1305. DOI: 10.1136/hrt.2004.038661.
 86. Stancel N., Chen Ch.-Ch., Ke L.-Y., Chu Ch.-Sh., Lu J., Sawamura T., Chen Ch.-H. Interplay between CRP, atherogenic LDL, and LOX-1 and Its potential role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. *Clinical Chemistry*. 2016; 62 (2): 320–327. DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2015.243923.
 87. Chistiakov D.A., Orekhov A.N., Bobryshev Yu.V. LOX-1-mediated effects on vascular cells in atherosclerosis. *Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry*. 2016; 38 (5): 1851–1859. DOI: 10.1159/000443123.
 88. Jiang D., Yang Y., Li D. Lipopolysaccharide induced vascular smooth muscle cells proliferation: A new potential therapeutic target for proliferative vascular diseases. *Cell Proliferation*. 2017; 50 (2): e12332. DOI: 10.1111/cpr.12332.
 89. Revishvili A.Sh., Lomidze N.N., Sungatov R.Sh., Khasanov I.Sh. Remote diagnosis and integration of medical data for raising effectiveness of electrocardiotherapy. *Bulletin of Arrhythmology*. 2016; 85: 5–18 (in Russ.).
 90. Yakovlev M.Yu. Endotoxin aggression as a pre-disease or a universal factor in the pathogenesis of human and animal diseases. *Advances in Modern Biology*. 2003; 123 (1): 31–40 (in Russ.).
 91. Gordienko A.I., Beloglazov V.A., Kubyshkin A.V. et al. Humoral Anti-Endotoxin Immunity Imbalance as a Probable Factor in the Pathogenesis of Autoimmune Diseases. *Human Physiology*. 2019; (45) 3: 337–341. DOI: 10.1134/s036211971903006x.
 92. Enukidze G.G., Anikhovskaya I.A., Marachev A.A., Yakovlev M.Yu. Anti-endotoxin trend in treatment of chronic inflammation and female infertility. M.: KDO-test; 2007: 79 (in Russ.).
 93. Vyshegurov Ya. Kh., Anikhovskaya I.A., Batmanov Yu.E., Yakovlev M.Yu. Intestinal endotoxin in the pathogenesis of eye inflammation and the anti-endotoxin component of its treatment. *Pathological Physiology and Experimental Therapy*. 2007; 1: 12–14 (in Russ.).
 94. Okorokov P.L., Anikhovskaya I.A., Yakovleva M.M. et al. Nutritional factors of inflammation induction or lipid mechanism of endotoxin transport. *Human Physiology*. 2012; (38) 6: 105–112.
 95. Okorokov P.L., Anikhovskaya I.A., Volkov I.E., Yakovlev M.Yu. Intestinal endotoxin as a trigger of type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Human Physiology*. 2011; 37 (2): 247–249. DOI: 10.1134/s0362119711020137.
 96. Gordienko A.I., Beloglazov V.N., Kubyshkin A.V. Imbalance in the humoral immunity parameters and low-grade inflammation in type 1 and 2 diabetes. *Pathological Physiology and Experimental Therapy*. 2016; (60) 3: 61–67 (in Russ.).
 97. Anikhovskaya I.A., Kubatiev A.A., Khasanova G.R., Yakovlev M.Yu. Endotoxin is a component in the pathogenesis of chronic viral diseases. *Human Physiology*. 2015; (41) 3: 328–335. DOI: 10.1134/s0362119715030020.
 98. Anikhovskaya I.A., Oparina O.N., Yakovleva M.M., Yakovlev M.Yu. Intestinal endotoxin as a universal factor of adaptation and pathogenesis of general adaptation syndrome. *Human Physiology*. 2006; (32) 2: 200–203. DOI: 10.1134/s0362119706020149.
 99. Anikhovskaya I.A., Dvoyenoso V.G., Zhdanov R.I., Kubatiev A.A., Mayskiy I.A., Markelova M.M., Meshkov M.V., Oparina O.N., Salakhov I.M., Yakovlev M.Yu. Psychoemotional stress as a clinical model of the initial phase of the general adaptation syndrome. *Pathological Physiology and*

- Experimental Therapy*. 2015; (59) 4: 87–93 (in Russ.). DOI: 10.25557/0031-2991.2015.04.87-92.
100. Anikhovskaya I.A., Kubatiev A.A., Mayskiy I.A. Trends in the search of new methods to reduce the endotoxin concentration in the general hemocirculation. *Pathogenesis*. 2014; 12 (4): 25–30 (in Russ.).
 101. Chernikhova E.A., Anikhovskaya I.A., Gataullin Yu. K., Ivanov V.B., Yakovlev M.Yu. Enterosorbition as an Approach to the Elimination of Chronic Endotoxin Aggression. *Human Physiology*. 2007; 33 (3): 373–374. DOI: 10.1134/s0362119707030164.
 102. Zulkarnaev A.B., Krstich M., Vatazin A.V., Gubarev K.K. Modern etiopathogenetic approach to treatment of purulent and septic complications after kidney transplantation. *Medical Almanac*. 2013; 5 (28): 161–164 (in Russ.).
 103. Anikhovskaya I.A., Beloglazov V.A., Gordienko A.I., Ivanov Ya.D., Kubinskiy A.V., Markelova M.M., Pokusaeva D.P. Brief history of studying the role of the intestinal factor in ageing and/or induction of systemic inflammation: advancements, problems, prospects. *Pathogenesis*. 2019; 17 (1): 4–17 (in Russ.). DOI: 10.25557/2310-0435.2019.01.4-17.

Authors information

Ryabov Vyacheslav V., Dr. Sci. (Med.), Associate Professor, Head of the Department for Emergency Cardiology, Cardiology Research Institute, TNRMC; Principal Researcher, Laboratory for Translational Cellular and Molecular Biomedicine, National Research Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russian Federation. ORCID 0000-0002-4358-7329.

Kretov Evgeny I., Cand. Sci. (Med.), Principal Researcher, Doctor of Endovascular Diagnostics and Treatment, Center of Interventional Cardiology, Meshalkin National Medical Research Center, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation. ORCID 0000-0002-7109-9074.

Popov Sergey V., Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, RAS Academician, Head of the Department of Interventional Arrhythmology and Electrostimulation; Director of Cardiology Research Institute, TNRMC; Deputy Director for Research and Medical Work, TNRMC, Tomsk, Russian Federation. ORCID 0000-0002-9050-4493.

Khassanov Ildar Sh., Cand. Sci. (Physics and Mathematics), Senior Researcher, Max Schaldach Endowed Professorship for Biomedical Technology, Friedrich Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany. ORCID 0000-0002-0124-976X.

Yakovlev Mikhail Yu., Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, RAS Academician, Head of the Laboratory for Systemic Endotoxemia and Shock; Director, Institute of General Pathology and Pathophysiology; Clinical and Diagnostic Company LLC; Pirogov Medical University, Moscow Russian Federation. ORCID 0000-0002-7166-9372.

(✉) **Ryabov Vyacheslav V.**, e-mail: rvvt@cardio-tomsk.ru.

Received 18.05.2020

Accepted 29.09.2020