

УДК 616.33-018.73-078

<https://doi.org/10.20538/1682-0363-2024-2-21-27>

Analyzing serological screening of the functional state of gastric mucosa in clinical practice

Belkovets A.V.^{1,2}, Ozhiganova N.V.¹, Kruchinina M.V.^{1,2}, Polonskaya Ya.V.¹, Shcherbakova L.V.¹

¹ Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine – Branch of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences

175/1, B. Bogatkova Str., Novosibirsk, 630089, Russian Federation

² Novosibirsk State Medical University

52, Krasny Prospekt, Novosibirsk, 630091, Russian Federation

ABSTRACT

Aim. To analyze the results of the GastroPanel and GastroScreen-3 tests over a 15-year follow-up and determine the incidence of autoimmune gastritis (AIG) in clinical practice and in a random sample of Novosibirsk residents.

Materials and methods. Biomarkers were analyzed in two groups: 1,742 people, average age of 50.0 ± 13.53 years (GastroPanel test, Biohit Oy, Finland), and 170 people, average age of 53.8 ± 12.89 years (GastroScreen-3 test, Vector-Best, Russia), from 2007 to 2022. The AIG incidence was calculated in current clinical practice and in a random sample of Novosibirsk residents aged 45–69 years. The PGI level of $160 \mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ was taken as the upper limit of normal, PGI of $31\text{--}50 \mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ indicated moderate atrophy, $\text{PGI} < 30 \mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ and the PGI / PGII ratio ≤ 3 indicated severe gastric fundus atrophy. AIG was considered at $\text{PGI} \leq 10.1 \mu\text{g} / \text{l}$, the PGI / PGII ratio ≤ 1.3 , and gastrin-17 $\geq 42.4 \text{ pmol} / \text{l}$ (GastroPanel) and at $\text{PGI} \leq 16.8 \mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ and the PGI / PGII ratio ≤ 1.5 (GastroScreen-3). The *H. pylori* IgG level $> 42 \text{ EIU}$ was considered to be positive. Antibodies to CagA protein were determined using the Helico-Best Antibody test (Vector-Best, Novosibirsk).

Results. Serological signs of severe and moderate gastric fundus atrophy were detected in 10 and 9.4% (GastroPanel test) and in 13.3 and 7% (GastroScreen-3 test) of those examined, respectively. Signs of multifocal atrophy were found in 0.7% of cases. Antibodies to *H. pylori* were detected in 57.7%, CagA+ strain – in 56.1% of cases. Peptic ulcer disease ($\text{PGI} \geq 160 \mu\text{g} / \text{l}$) was found in 15.3% (GastroPanel test) and 10% (GastroScreen-3 test) of the examined. According to the GastroPanel and GastroScreen-3 tests, the incidence of AIG was 1.6% in a random sample and 2.6 and 3.5% in current clinical practice, respectively.

Conclusion. Twenty percent of the examined persons were at risk of developing gastric cancer and 10–15% had peptic ulcer disease, which requires further examination. The incidence of AIG in different study groups based on serological screening was 1.6–3.5%.

Keywords: pepsinogens, GastroPanel, GastroScreen-3, *Helicobacter pylori*, fundus atrophy, autoimmune gastritis

Conflict of interest. The authors declare the absence of obvious or potential conflict of interest related to the publication of this article.

Source of financing. The study was performed within the state assignment as part of “Study of Molecular Genetic and Molecular Biological Mechanisms of Common Internal Disease Development in Siberia to Improve Approaches to Early Diagnosis and Prevention”, 2024–2028 (FWNR-2024-0004); “Improvement of Methods for Diagnosis, Prevention, and Treatment of Patients with Common Hepatobiliary and Gastrointestinal Diseases in Siberia”, 2023–2025 (FWNR-2023-0003).

✉ Ozhiganova Natalia V., natalya.safyanova@mail.ru

Conformity with the principles of ethics. All patients signed an informed consent to participate in the study. The study was approved by the Bioethics Committee at Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine, Branch of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics, SB RAS (Protocol No. 11 of 02.03.2021).

For citation: Belkovets A.V., Ozhiganova N.V., Kruchinina M.V., Polonskaya Ya.V., Shcherbakova L.V. Analyzing serological screening of the functional state of gastric mucosa in clinical practice. *Bulletin of Siberian Medicine*. 2024;23(2):21–27. <https://doi.org/10.20538/1682-0363-2024-2-21-27>.

Анализ серологической диагностики функционального состояния слизистой желудка в клинической практике

Белковец А.В.^{1,2}, Ожиганова Н.В.¹, Кручинина М.В.^{1,2}, Полонская Я.В.¹, Щербакова Л.В.¹

¹ Научно-исследовательский институт терапии и профилактической медицины (НИИТПМ) – филиал Института цитологии и генетики СО РАН (ИЦИГ СО РАН)

Россия, 630089, г. Новосибирск, ул. Бориса Богаткова, 175/1

² Новосибирский государственный медицинский университет (НГМУ)

Россия, 630091, г. Новосибирск, Красный проспект, 52

РЕЗЮМЕ

Цель. Проанализировать результаты тест-систем «ГастроПанель» и «ГастроСкрин-3» за 15 лет наблюдения и определить частоту аутоиммунного гастрита (АИГ) в клинической практике и в случайной выборке жителей г. Новосибирска.

Материалы и методы. Показатели биомаркеров были проанализированы в двух группах: 1 742 человека, средний возраст $50,0 \pm 13,53$ лет (тест-система «ГастроПанель», компания «Биохит», Финляндия), и 170 человек, средний возраст $53,8 \pm 12,89$ лет (тест-система «ГастроСкрин-3», АО «Вектор-Бест», Россия), с 2007 по 2022 г. Расчет частоты АИГ проводился в текущей клинической практике и в случайной выборке жителей г. Новосибирска 45–69 лет. Верхней границей нормы считали показатель пепсиноген I (ПГИ) – 160 мкг/л, умеренной атрофии соответствовал диапазон ПГИ 31–50 мкг/л, а ПГИ ≤ 30 мкг/л и соотношения ПГИ/ПГII ≤ 3 – выраженной фундальной атрофии. Аутоиммунный гастрит рассматривали при показателях ПГИ $\leq 10,1$ мкг/л, ПГИ/ПГII $\leq 1,3$; гастрин-17 $\geq 42,4$ пмоль/л («ГастроПанель») и ПГИ $\leq 16,8$ мкг/л, ПГИ/ПГII $\leq 1,5$ тест-система («ГастроСкрин-3», АО «Вектор-Бест», Россия). Положительным считали уровень иммуноглобулина класса (Ig) G *H. pylori* более 42 EIU. Антитела к CagA-белку определяли с помощью тест-системы «Хелико-Бест антитела» (АО «Вектор-Бест», г. Новосибирск).

Результаты. Серологические признаки выраженной и умеренной фундальной атрофии выявлены: 10 и 9,4% («ГастроПанель»), 13,3 и 7% («ГастроСкрин-3») соответственно. Признаки мультифокальной атрофии обнаружены в 0,7%. Иммуноглобулины класса G *H. pylori* определялись в 57,7%, CagA+ штамм – в 56,1% случаев. Язвенный фенотип гастрита был обнаружен у 15,3% («ГастроПанель») и у 10% («ГастроСкрин-3»). Частота АИГ по данным тест-систем «ГастроПанель» и «ГастроСкрин-3» в случайной выборке составила 1,6%, в текущей клинической практике – 2,6 и 3,5% соответственно.

Заключение. В группу риска развития рака желудка попали 20% обследованных, у 10–15% обнаружен язвенный фенотип, что требует дообследования. Частота АИГ в исследуемых группах на основании серологического скрининга составила 1,6–3,5%.

Ключевые слова: пепсиногены, «ГастроПанель», «ГастроСкрин-3», *Helicobacter pylori*, фундальная атрофия, аутоиммунный гастрит

Конфликт интересов. Авторы декларируют отсутствие явных и потенциальных конфликтов интересов, связанных с публикацией настоящей статьи.

Источник финансирования. Работа выполнена по государственному заданию в рамках бюджетных тем «Изучение молекулярно-генетических и молекулярно-биологических механизмов развития распространенных терапевтических заболеваний в Сибири для совершенствования подходов к их ранней диагностике и профилактике», 2024–2028 гг. (FWNR-2024-0004); «Совершенствование методов диагностики, профилак-

тики и лечения больных распространенными заболеваниями гепатобилиарной системы и желудочно-кишечного тракта в Сибири», 2023–2025, FWNR-2023-0003.

Соответствие принципам этики. Все пациенты подписали информированное согласие на участие в исследовании. Исследование одобрено комитетом биомедицинской этики НИИТПМ – филиала ИЦиГ СО РАН (протокол № 11 от 2.03.2021).

Для цитирования: Белковец А.В., Ожиганова Н.В., Кручинина М.В., Полонская Я.В., Щербакова Л.В. Анализ серологической диагностики функционального состояния слизистой желудка в клинической практике. *Бюллетень сибирской медицины*. 2024;23(2):21–27. <https://doi.org/10.20538/1682-0363-2024-2-21-27>.

INTRODUCTION

The function of the stomach and its mucosal structure are closely associated with each other. Normal levels of such biomarkers as pepsinogen I, pepsinogen II and their ratio (PG I, PG II, PG I/PG II), gastrin-17, as well as the absence of IgG antibodies to *H. pylori* and CagA cytotoxic protein are surrogate markers of healthy gastric mucosa, with the exception of nonspecific inflammation or microerosions that do not affect their profile [1, 2]. Test panels include biomarkers that reflect the morphological changes and the function of the gastric mucosa. In non-atrophic gastritis associated with *H. pylori* infection, the levels of pepsinogens, especially those of PG II, increase [1, 2].

Changes in the levels of biomarkers can also show the localization of the process. PG I is an indicator of damage to gastric glands in the stomach body, PG II is synthesized in every part of the stomach, and PG I / PG II ratio is correlated with the progression of fundus atrophy in the mucosa [1, 3]. Gastrin-17 is the principal hormone that regulates the secretion of hydrochloric acid by parietal cells of the stomach. Its basal level is decreased in persons with hyperacidity (hypersecretion). The development of atrophy in the antral part also leads to decreased levels of gastrin-17, including its postprandial fraction [4, 5].

According to the last Maastricht Consensus, atrophy determinates the risk of non-hereditary gastric cancer and can be found with the use of invasive (biopsy) and non-invasive methods [6]. A decrease in PG I and / or PGI / PG II ratio with high levels of gastrin-17 indicates the presence of gastric fundus atrophy and is characteristic of autoimmune gastritis as well as high levels of anti-parietal cell antibodies (APCAs) and / or anti-intrinsic factor antibodies (AIFAs) [2, 4, 7]. Thus, all these parameters provide important information about the functional state of the gastric mucosa [6].

Test kits that include a panel of atrophy biomarkers have proven to be effective in non-invasive diagnosis both in individual patients and in population screening [1, 2, 4, 8]. GastroPanel test (Finland) is one of the most used kits that includes PG I, PG II, PG I / PG II ratio, gastrin-17, and IgG antibodies to *H. pylori*. Its sensitivity is 83%, and its specificity ranges from 95 to 98% [9]. The Russian test system GastroScreen-3 has been introduced into clinical practice recently. It includes PG I, PG II, PG I / PG II ratio, and antibodies to CagA protein.

The aim of the study was to analyze the results of serological screening of the functional state of gastric mucosa using two test systems (GastroPanel and GastroScreen-3) and to determine the frequency of autoimmune gastritis (AIG) in clinical practice and in a random sample of Novosibirsk residents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biomarker data obtained using the GastroPanel test (Biohit Oy, Finland) were analyzed in 1,742 people with an average age of 50.0 ± 13.53 years during a 15-year follow-up from 2007 to 2022. Women made up a larger proportion of individuals in the group (1,210 people, which is 69.5 %) than men (532 men – 30.5 %, $p < 0.001$). Using the GastroScreen-3 biomarker panel (Vector Best, Russia), the analysis was carried out in 170 people with an average age of 53.8 ± 12.89 years over a 4-year follow-up from 2018 to 2022. The proportion of women in this group was also larger than that of men (79.4 and 20.6%, respectively; $p < 0.001$). All patients went to the clinic at the Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine, Branch of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics, independently or following a doctor referral.

A random sample of Novosibirsk residents was examined using the GastroPanel test to study the incidence of AIG. The group consisted of 246 people (117 men and 129 women) with an average age of 59.4 ± 7.0 years, selected by simple random sampling

from 9,360 people aged 45–69 years based on the data of the cross-sectional study which was part of the HAPIEE project conducted at the Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine in 2003–2005.

Serum samples were tested using the GastroPanel (Biohit Oy, Finland) and GastroScreen-3 (Vector-Best, Russia) tests for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, according to the manufacturer's instructions [10]. The PGI value of 160 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ was considered the upper limit of normal, and the PGI level $\leq 30 \mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ and / or the PGI / PGII ratio of ≤ 3 indicated severe gastric fundus atrophy. The PGI range of 31–50 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ indicated moderate gastric fundus atrophy. Multifocal (pangastritis) atrophic gastritis was determined when the level of PGI decreased to ≤ 30 and gastrin-17 was less than 1 pmol / l [10]. The level of IgG antibodies to *H. pylori* was considered significant in terms of diagnosis when the level was more than 42 EIU. *H. pylori* CagA antibodies were assessed using the Helico-Best Antibody test system (Vector-Best, Russia).

In our previous study, we determined cutoff values for autoimmune atrophic gastritis in patients with verified AIG. For the GastroPanel test, the values were the following: PG I $\leq 10.1 \mu\text{g} / \text{l}$, the PG I / PG II ratio ≤ 1.3 , and gastrin-17 $\geq 42.4 \text{ pmol} / \text{l}$. For the GastroScreen-3 test, the values were as follows: PG I $\leq 16.8 \mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ and the PG I / PG II ratio ≤ 1.5 [11].

Statistical analysis of the obtained results was performed using the SPSS statistics (16.0 version). The distribution of quantitative variables was assessed using the Kolmogorov – Smirnov test. We calculated mean values ($M \pm \sigma$) for a normal distribution and the median (Me) and the interquartile range [Q_{25} ; Q_{75}] for a non-normal distribution. The Student's *t*-test and the Mann – Whitney *U* test were used to determine the statistical significance of the differences. The Pearson's chi-squared test was used to compare proportions. The critical value of the null hypothesis was considered at $p \leq 0.05$.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by Biomedical Ethics Committee at the Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine – a Branch of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics (Protocol No. 11 of 02.03.2021). All patients signed an informed consent to participate in the study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean and median values of biomarkers measured in all participants using two test systems during the follow-up are shown in Tables 1 and 2. In men, the levels of PGI and the PGI / PGII ratio (GastroPanel) were higher, and the level of gastrin-17 was lower than in women (Table 1).

Table 1

Parameters of the GastroPanel test over a 15-year follow-up in men and women, $Me [Q_{25\%}; Q_{75\%}]$				
Parameter	Men, $n = 532$	Women, $n = 1,210$	Total, $n = 1,742$	p_{m-f}
PG I, $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$	97.4 [65.2; 139.7]	83.9 [54.4; 125.0]	87.7 [57.9; 128.7]	<0.0001
PG II, $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$	10.6 [6.5; 19.7]	10.1 [6.1; 19.0]	10.2 [6.2; 19.4]	0.168
PG I / PG II	8.5 [5.6; 11.8]	7.9 [4.9; 11.7]	8.2 [5.1; 11.7]	0.036
Gastrin-17, pmol / l	4.3 [1.4; 11.6]	4.9 [1.9; 15.2]	4.7 [1.7; 14.0]	<0.0001
IgG to <i>H. pylori</i> , EIU	62.0 [17.7; 105.1]	56.3 [16.9; 108.2]	57.8 [17.3; 107.4]	0.996

Table 2

Parameters of the GastroScreen-3 test over a 4-year follow-up in men and women, $Me [Q_{25\%}; Q_{75\%}]$				
Parameter	Men, $n = 35$	Women, $n = 135$	Total, $n = 170$	p_{m-f}
PG I, $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$	98.6 [53.5; 139.5]	89.8 [59.4; 122.7]	91.5 [58.8; 129.9]	0.458
PG II, $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$	10.6 [6.5; 19.7]	10.1 [6.1; 18.9]	9.1 [5.7; 16.7]	0.906
PG I / PG II	8.6 [6.3; 12.0]	8.7 [5.6; 13.2]	8.6 [5.7; 13.0]	0.882

H. pylori infection is recognized as the main cause of atrophic gastritis and a class one carcinogen [4, 12]. In this study, IgG to *H. pylori* was detected in 57.7 % of the participants (out of 1,742 people) with high prevalence of cytotoxic CagA+ strain (56.1%). In the GastroScreen-3 group, a more carcinogenic CagA+ strain of *H. pylori* [6, 13] was found in 42% of the

participants (out of 170 people). It is possible that the percentage of those infected was higher because IgG to *H. pylori* can be negative due to elimination of bacteria in individuals with severe atrophy or after successful treatment. The literature describes cases of spontaneous disappearance of *H. pylori* in patients with severe atrophic gastritis, while the

probability of developing gastric cancer may increase [14, 15].

Serological signs of severe and moderate gastric mucosal atrophy were detected in 10 and 9.4% of 1,742 people examined using the GastroPanel test, respectively. Severe and moderate atrophy was detected in 10.6 and 7.1% of individuals in the GastroScreen-3 group (170 persons), respectively (Table 2). In total, over the follow-ups, serological signs of gastric fundus atrophy of varying severity were identified in 19.4% (GastroPanel) and 17.6% of cases (GastroScreen-3). According to several studies, the PGI / PGII ratio may be a more reliable marker of gastric fundus atrophy than PGI alone [7, 16]. The PGI / PG II ratio was found to be low in 11% of individuals in the GastroPanel group, and a combination of low PGI levels and low PG I / PG II ratio was detected in 7.3% of cases. The PG I / PG II ratio ≤ 3 was found in 8.2 % of the participants

in the GastroScreen-3 group, while the combination of PG I ≤ 30 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ and the PG I / PG II ratio ≤ 3 was detected in 7.1% of patients in this group. Serological signs of multifocal atrophic gastritis with a high risk of developing gastric cancer were detected in 13 of 1,742 individuals (0.7%) (Table 2).

The detection of low biomarker values corresponding to the serological criteria of atrophy requires further endoscopic examination with multifocal biopsy and gastric atrophy grading according to the OLGA integrated system [17]. Thus, according to the latest consensus, both international and Russian, serological tests are useful for assessing individual risk of gastric cancer [6, 18, 19].

Peptic ulcer disease, elevated levels of hydrochloric acid, and PGI higher than 160 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ were found in 15.3 % of cases in the GastroPanel group and in 10% of cases in the GastroScreen-3 group (Table 3).

Table 3

Frequency of GastroPanel and GastroScreen-3 parameters with interpretation of possible risks, %, <i>Me</i> [$Q_{25\%}$; $Q_{75\%}$]			
Parameter	GastroPanel, <i>n</i> = 1,742	GastroScreen-3, <i>n</i> = 170	Interpretation
PG I (51–160 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$)	64.5 [62.3; 66.7]	71.8 [65; 78.5]	No signs of atrophy
PG I (≤ 30 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$)	10 [8.6; 11.4]	10.6 [6.0; 15.2]	Severe gastric fundus atrophy. Risk of gastric cancer
PG I / PG II ≤ 3	11 [9.5; 12.5]	8.2 [4.1; 12.3]	
PG I ≤ 30 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ + PG I / PG II ≤ 3	7.3 [6.1; 8.5]	7.1 [3.2; 11.0]	
PG I (31–50 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$)	9.4 [8.0; 10.8]	7.1 [3.2; 11.0]	Signs of moderate gastric fundus atrophy. Risk of gastric cancer
PG I ≤ 10.1 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ + Gastrin-17 ≥ 42.4 pmol / l	2.6 [1.9; 3.3]	–	Autoimmune gastritis. High risk of iron deficiency, vitamin B12 deficiency, anemia, and gastric cancer
PG I ≤ 16.8 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ + PG I / PG II ≤ 1.5	–	3.5 [0.7; 6.3]	
PG I (≥ 160 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$)	15.3 [13.6; 17.0]	10 [5.5; 14.5]	Hypersecretory state. High risk of erosive and ulcerative damage to gastric mucosa
PG I ≤ 30 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ + Gastrin-17 < 1 pmol / l	0.7 [0.3; 1.1]	–	Pangastritis. Multifocal atrophy (body + antrum). High risk of developing gastric cancer

Thus, over 15-year (GastroPanel) and 4-year (GastroScreen-3) follow-up, 20% of the participants were included in the gastric cancer risk group, and 10–15% of the participants were included in the risk group for erosive and ulcerative damage to the gastric mucosa which requires a further detailed examination.

According to the literature, the levels of pepsinogens, especially those of PGII, increase in *H. pylori*-associated gastritis [3, 20]. In this study, average values of PGI and PGII were also significantly higher in the *H. pylori*-positive individuals compared to the *H. pylori*-negative persons (111.6 ± 63.4 vs. 83.6 ± 56.7 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$ and 18.4 ± 13.9 vs. 9.9 ± 9.2 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$, $p < 0.0001$, respectively), and PGII was also higher in the CagA-positive individuals (14.9 ± 10.5 vs. 10.6 ± 7.8 $\mu\text{g} / \text{l}$, $p = 0.004$).

In addition to *H. pylori* infection, AIG can also be the cause of atrophic changes in the mucosa [21]. Based on previously obtained cut-offs for atrophy biomarkers, the incidence of AIG in current clinical practice was 2.6% (GastroPanel, 1,742 participants) and 3.5% (GastroScreen-3, 170 participants) (Table 2). In the random sample (45–69 years, 246 participants), the incidence of AIG was 1.6% (GastroPanel). These values do not contradict the literature data [22, 23]. In addition to the risk of developing hematologic disorders, AIG poses a risk of developing neuroendocrine tumors and adenocarcinomas. However, it should be noted that stage III–IV atrophic gastritis (according to OLGA) associated with *H. pylori* infection determines a greater risk of developing gastric cancer [6].

CONCLUSION

The conducted analysis of the results of serological screening in clinical practice in people from a wide range of age groups over a long follow-up period showed high frequency of gastric fundus atrophy of varying severity with coexisting *H. pylori* infection with high prevalence of cytotoxic CagA+ strain or AIG, which requires a more detailed examination. The frequency of AIG was 1.6–3.5% in different study groups based on serological tests.

Therefore, serological screening of gastritis types using diagnostic panels, such as GastroPanel or GastroScreen-3 tests, is an effective tool to determine the functional state of the gastric mucosa.

REFERENCES

1. Tu H., Sun L., Dong X., Gong Y., Xu Q., Jing J. et al. Serological Biopsy Using Five Stomach-Specific Circulating Biomarkers for Gastric Cancer Risk Assessment: A Multi-Phase Study. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2017;112(5):704–715. DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2017.55.
2. Syrjänen K. Accuracy of Serum Biomarker Panel (GastroPanel®) in the Diagnosis of Atrophic Gastritis of the Corpus. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Anticancer Res.* 2022;42(4):1679–1696. DOI: 10.21873/anticancer.15645.
3. Di Mario F., Cavallaro L.G., Moussa A.M., Caruana P., Merli R., Maini A. et al. Usefulness of serum pepsinogens in *Helicobacter pylori* chronic gastritis: relationship with inflammation, activity, and density of the bacterium. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 2006;51(10):1791–1795. DOI: 10.1007/s10620-006-9206-1.
4. Agréus L., Kuipers E.J., Kupcinskas L., Malfertheiner P., Di Mario F., Leja M. et al. Rationale in diagnosis and screening of atrophic gastritis with stomach-specific plasma biomarkers. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 2012;47(2):136–147. DOI: 10.3109/00365521.2011.645501.
5. Di Mario F., Franzoni L., Franceschi M., Rodriguez-Castro K.I., Russo M., Crafa P. Low levels of G17 and Barrett esophagus: a clinical relationship. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.* 2022;28:60(7):e165–e167. DOI: 10.1515/cclm-2022-0362.
6. Malfertheiner P., Megraud F., Rokkas T., Gisbert J.P., Liou J.M., Schulz C. et al. European *Helicobacter* and Microbiota Study group. Management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: the Maastricht VI/Florence consensus report. *Gut.* 2022;2022:327745. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2022-327745.
7. Kurilovich S., Belkovets A., Reshetnikov O., Openko T., Malyutina S., Ragino Y. et al. Stomach-specific Biomarkers (GastroPanel) Can Predict the Development of Gastric Cancer in a Caucasian Population: A Longitudinal Nested Case-Control Study in Siberia. *Anticancer Res.* 2016;36(1):247–253.
8. Purveeva K.V., Lapina T.L., Ivashkin V.T., Konkov M.Yu., Sklyanskaya O.A., Serova A.G., Vasilyeva N.Yu. Serum pepsinogen I, pepsinogen II and gastrin-17 in diagnostics of atrophic gastritis. *Russian Journal of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Coloproctology.* 2005;15(3):48–51 (in Russ.).
9. Storskrubb T., Aro P., Ronkainen J., Sipponen P., Nyhlin H., Talley N.J. et al. Serum biomarkers provide an accurate method for diagnosis of atrophic gastritis in a general population: The Kalixanda study. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 2008;43(12):1448–1455. DOI: 10.1080/00365520802273025. PMID: 18663663.
10. Biohit HealthCare. GastroPanel. URL: <https://www.gastropanel.com/healthcare-professionals-and-laboratories/forms-and-instructions>
11. Belkovets A.V., Kruchinina M.V., Ozhiganova N.V., Shcherbakova L.V. Clinical and laboratory features of autoimmune gastritis in Siberia. *Modern Science: Actual Problems of Theory and Practice. Series: Natural and Technical Sciences.* 2022;(12):116–121 (in Russ.). DOI: 10.37882/2223-2966.2022.12.03.
12. International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization. Schistosomiasis, liver flukes and *Helicobacter pylori*. IARC working group on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to human. *Monogr. Eval. Carcinog. Risks Hum.* 1994;61:218–220.
13. El Hafa F., Wang T., Ndifor V.M., Jin G. Association between *Helicobacter pylori* antibodies determined by multiplex serology and gastric cancer risk: A meta-analysis. *Helicobacter.* 2022;27(3):e12881. DOI: 10.1111/hel.12881.
14. Kishikawa H., Ojio K., Nakamura K., Katayama T., Arahata K., Takarabe S. et al. Previous *Helicobacter pylori* infection-induced atrophic gastritis: A distinct disease entity in an understudied population without a history of eradication. *Helicobacter.* 2020;25(1):e12669. DOI: 10.1111/hel.12669.
15. Kokkola A., Kosunen T.U., Puolakkainen P., Sipponen P., Harkonen M., Laxen F. et al. Spontaneous disappearance of *Helicobacter pylori* antibodies in patients with advanced atrophic corpus gastritis. *APMIS.* 2003;111(6):619–624. DOI: 10.1034/j.1600-0463.2003.1110604.x.
16. Magris R., De Re V., Maiero S., Fornasari M., Guarnieri G., Caggiari L. et al. Low pepsinogen I/II ratio and high gastrin-17 levels typify chronic atrophic autoimmune gastritis patients with gastric neuroendocrine tumors. *Clin. Transl. Gastroenterol.* 2020;11(9):e00238. DOI: 10.14309/ctg.0000000000000238.
17. Ogutmen Koc D., Bektas S. Serum pepsinogen levels and OLGA/OLGIM staging in the assessment of atrophic gastritis types. *Postgrad. Med J.* 2022;98(1160):441–445. DOI: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-139183.
18. Sugano K., Tack J., Kuipers E.J., Graham D.Y., El-Omar E.M., Miura S. et al. Kyoto global consensus report on *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis. *Gut.* 2015;64(9):1353–1367. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309252.
19. Ivashkin V.T., Maev I.V., Lapina T.L., Fedorov E.D., Sheptulin A.A., Trukhmanov A.S., et al. Clinical guidelines of the Russian Gastroenterological Association and the Russian Endoscopy Association on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Gastritis and Duodenitis. *Russian Journal of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Coloproctology.* 2021;31(4):70–99 (in Russ.). DOI: 10.22416/1382-4376-2021-31-4-70-99.
20. Fernandez-Botran R., Wellmann I.A., Une C., Méndez-Chacón E., Hernández de Rodas E., Bhandari B. et al. Seroprevalence of *Helicobacter pylori*/CagA antibodies in guatemalan gastric cancer patients: association of seropositivity with increased plasma levels of pepsinogens but not soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2020;103(1):260–265. DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.19-0934.

21. Rugge M., Savarino E., Sbaraglia M., Bricca L., Malfertheiner P. Gastritis: The clinico-pathological spectrum. *Dig. Liver Dis.* 2021;53(10):1237–1246. DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2021.03.007.
22. Bakulina N., Tikhonov S., Malkov V., Vorobyev S., Belyakov I., Peshkova N. et al. Non-invasive screening of autoimmune atrophic gastritis in asymptomatic subjects by serological biomarker test (GastroPanel®). *Anticancer Res.* 2022;42(3):1517–1526. DOI: 10.21873/anticancer.15624.
23. Notsu T., Adachi K., Mishiro T., Fujihara H., Toda T., Takaki S. et al. Prevalence of autoimmune gastritis in individuals undergoing medical checkups in Japan. *Intern. Med.* 2019;58(13):1817–1823. DOI: 10.2169/internalmedicine.2292-18.

Authors' contribution

Belkovets A.V., Ozhiganova N.V. – conception and design, analysis and interpretation of the data, justification of the manuscript. Kruchinina M.V. – critical revision of important intellectual content, final approval of the manuscript for publication. Polonskaya Ya.V. – analysis and interpretation of the data. Shcherbakova L.V. – statistical processing of the data.

Authors' information

Belkovets Anna V. – Dr. Sci. (Med.), Associate Professor, Senior Researcher, Laboratory of Gastroenterology, Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine – branch of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics SB RAS; Professor, Department of Introduction into Internal Diseases, NSMU, Novosibirsk, belkovets@gmx.de, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2610-1323>

Ozhiganova Natalia V. – Post-Graduate Student, Gastroenterologist, Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine – branch of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics SB RAS, Novosibirsk, natalya.safyanova@mail.ru, <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4516-6859>

Kruchinina Margarita V. – Dr. Sci. (Med.), Associate Professor, Head of the Laboratory of Gastroenterology, Leading Researcher, Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine – branch of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics SB RAS; Professor, Department of Introduction into Internal Diseases, NSMU, Novosibirsk, kruchmargo@yandex.ru, <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0077-3823>

Polonskaya Yana V. – Dr. Sci. (Biology), Senior Researcher, Laboratory for Clinical Biochemical and Hormonal Studies on Internal Diseases, Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine – branch of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics SB RAS, Novosibirsk, yana-polonskaya@yandex.ru, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3538-0280>

Shcherbakova Lilia V. – Senior Researcher, Laboratory for Clinical, Population and Preventive Research of Internal and Endocrine Diseases, Research Institute of Internal and Preventive Medicine – branch of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics SB RAS, Novosibirsk, 9584792@mail.ru, <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9270-9188>

(✉) **Ozhiganova Natalia V.**, natalya.safyanova@mail.ru

Received 30.01.2024;
approved after peer review 01.03.2024;
accepted 06.03.2024